

[0120] A differential actuator represented by the impedance diagram of FIG. 8 behaves similarly to two electrical transformers connected in parallel with transducers T1 and T2, having respectively two equivalent mechanical impedances Z_1 and Z_2 . Then, the total equivalent mechanical impedance Z_{eq} of the actuator of FIG. 8 seen from a load point of view is given by:

$$Z_{eq} = Z_1 \frac{K^2}{(K+1)^2} // Z_2 K^2 = \frac{Z_1 Z_2 K^2}{(K+1)^2 Z_2 + Z_1}$$

[0121] As indicated in the foregoing description, a high performance differential actuator is characterized by the following relation:

$$(K+1)^2 Z_2 \gg Z_1.$$

[0122] Accordingly, the impedance Z_{eq} can be approximated by:

$$Z_{eq} \cong \frac{K^2}{(K+1)^2} \cdot Z_1.$$

[0123] Therefore, a property of a differential actuator according to the illustrative embodiment of FIG. 8 is that there is a precise known relationship between the mechanical impedance Z_{eq} of the differential actuator and the mechanical impedance Z_1 of transducer T1. The mechanical impedance Z_2 of transducer T2, which is in general very difficult to model, does not influence the mechanical impedance Z_{eq} of the differential actuator. As a result, an interaction control between the differential actuator and the load 803 can be performed solely with impedance and/or force/torque control of transducer T1. The aforementioned four categories of differential actuators provide four different examples as to implementing interaction control, i.e. force/torque and/or constant/variable impedance interaction control.

[0124] Advantages of Differential Actuation

[0125] Differentially coupling two transducers is quasi equivalent to serial coupling when computing the impedance seen from the load. In a “force/tension” impedance diagram of a “serial impedance actuator” as illustrated in FIG. 13, transducers T1 and T2 are connected in parallel.

[0126] Different categories of “serial impedance actuators” have been proposed in the patent literature, for example in references [9] and [10]. Several particular implementations are described and claimed, in particular linear serial elastic actuators.

[0127] Differential coupling offer implementation advantages compared with serial coupling. In particular, high performance rotational actuators are best implemented using a differential coupling between transducers T1 and T2 than using a serial coupling. An additional advantage, amongst others, comprises a more compact and simpler design as demonstrated by the examples of implementation described in the following description.

Physical Implementations

[0128] Implementations of a Mechanical Differential

[0129] Any speed reduction mechanism can be used to implement the mechanical speed reducer(s) of a mechanical differential actuator according to the present invention. Examples of speed reduction mechanisms comprise, amongst others, standard gearboxes, cycloidal gearboxes, bar mechanisms, cable mechanisms, and any other mechanism capable of implementing the mechanical function of speed reducer. The difference between a speed reducer and a mechanical differential can be understood by looking at what is connected to the three mechanical ports of the differential actuator. The two simple bar mechanisms of FIGS. 14 and 15 can be used to illustrate this conceptual difference.

[0130] FIG. 14 shows a mechanical speed reducer comprising 3 mechanical ports respectively connected to an input transducer O_1 , a fixed chassis O_2 and a load O_3 .

[0131] In contrast, FIG. 15 illustrates a differential actuator according to an illustrative embodiment of the present invention, comprising 3 mechanical ports respectively connected to transducer T1 (O_1), transducer T2 (O_2) and a load O_3 .

[0132] Use of a harmonic drive technology to implement a differential mechanical function of a rotational differential actuator provides a very compact and simple design. As can be seen from FIG. 16, the 3 building components of a harmonic drive, i.e. the wave generator WG, the flexible spline FS and the circular spline CS, can be bought separately from the company Harmonic Drive LLC, Peabody, USA. This hollow shaft system allows for the implementation of at least 3 different possible embodiments of rotational differential actuators according to the present invention.

[0133] More specifically, a harmonic drive may be viewed as a mechanical differential including 3 ports, namely its three building components WG, FS and CS. Since the operation of a harmonic drive is believed to be well known to those of ordinary skill in the art and that such drive systems are readily available on the market, the harmonic drive and its principle of operation will not be further discussed in the present specification.

[0134] The at least 3 possible implementations of rotational differential actuators according to illustrative embodiments the present invention and using the harmonic drive of FIG. 16 will now be described with reference to FIGS. 17a, 17b and 17c.

[0135] In the 3 implementations of FIGS. 17a-17c, transducer T1 is respectively a torsion spring 1702, a constant/variable rotational damper 1703 and a limited angle torque motor 1704. Transducer T2 is a rotational direct drive brushless motor in the 3 cases. A non-rotating sensor C measures the torque output of the mechanical differential actuator.

[0136] Implementation 1

[0137] FIG. 17a illustrates a first possible design of rotational differential actuator using a hollow shaft harmonic drive as illustrated in FIG. 16 wherein transducer T1 is a torsion spring 1702.

[0138] In FIG. 17a, transducer 72 comprises a rotor R connected to the wave generator WG of the harmonic drive.